



SM Transparency Catalog ► W. R. MEADOWS ► AIR-SHIELD[™]



AIR-SHIELD[™] AIR-SHIELD[™] THRU-WALL FLASHING AIR-SHIELD[™] LOW TEMP AIR-SHIELD[™] XLT

AIR-SHIELD self-adhering air/vapor and liquid moisture barrier is a part of a total system to complete the building envelope. It is a rolltype product that is nominally 40 mils thick. This unique, self-adhesive membrane, protected by a special release paper, is strong and durable. It remains flexible when surface mounted and will adhere to most primed surfaces at minimum temperatures of 40° F (4° C).





Performance dashboard

Features & functionality

Low permeability

Prevents the transmission of air and inhibits moisture vapor through porous building materials

Provides a complete above-grade air, vapor, and water barrier on a variety of construction materials

Controlled thickness membrane is ideal for air barrier applications

Cross-laminated polyethylene film has excellent tensile strength, elongation, and tear resistance

Modified membrane is flexible at low temperatures

Visit W.R. MEADOWS for more product information:

AIR-SHIELD[™] AIR-SHIELD[™] THRU-WALL FLASHING TAPE AIR-SHIELD[™] LOW TEMP AIR-SHIELD[™] XLT

MasterFormat® 07 27 13 AIR-SHIELD Guide Specs

For spec help, contact us or call 800-342-5976.

Environment & materials

Improved by:

Made in the United States

USGBC member

CAGBC member

Certifications, rating systems & disclosures:

Health Product Declaration

Build America, Buy America Act compliant (AIR-SHIELD[™] THRU-WALL FLASHING, AIR-SHIELD[™] LOW TEMP, AIR-SHIELD[™] XLT)

See LCA, interpretation & rating systems





SM Transparency Report (EPD)™

LCA

 \checkmark

EPD 3rd-party reviewed

Transparency Report (EPD)

3rd-party verified

Validity: 05/27/25 – 05/27/30 SM-WRM – 05272025 – 007 This Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) was externally verified by Lindita Bushi, PhD, Senior Research Associate at Athena, in accordance with ISO 21930:2017, ASTM International. (2023). Product Category Rules (PCR) for Preparing an Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) for Water-Resistive and Air Barriers as well as ISO 14025:2006. Athena Sustainable Materials

Institute 600 Grings Hill Road Sinking Spring, PA 19608 https://www.athenasmi.org (610) 985-0933



SUMMARY

Reference PCR ASTM PCR for Water-Resistive and Air Barriers

Regions; system boundaries North America; Cradle-to-gate **Declared unit:** 1 m²

LCIA methodology: TRACI 2.1 LCA software; LCI database SimaPro Developer 9.6: ecoinvent

v3.10, US-EI 2.2 Public LCA:

LCA of W. R. MEADOWS Water-Resistive and Air Barriers

In accordance with ISO 14044 and the reference PCR, this life cycle assessment was conducted by Sustainable Minds and reviewed by Lindita Bushi, PhD, Senior Research Associate, at Athena. **W.R. MEADOWS, Inc.** 300 Industrial Drive P.O. Box 338 Hampshire, IL 60140-0338 wrmeadows.com (800) 342-5976







LCA results & interpretation

AIR-SHIELD^T

AIR-SHIELD™	AIR-SHIELD™ THRU-WALL FLASHING	AIR-SHIELD™ LOW TEMP	AIR-SHIELD™ XLT

Scope and summary

Cradle to gate 🔘 Cradle to gate with options 🔘 Cradle to grave

Application

AIR-SHIELD[™] is a self-adhering, flexible membrane flashing. It is a roll-type product that is nominally 40 mils thick. This material is an air, vapor, and liquid moisture barrier. It is made from cross-laminated polyethylene bonded to modified asphalt. It adheres to various surfaces at temperatures as low as 40°F (4°C) and exceeds the Massachusetts Commercial Energy Code for Building Envelope Systems and meets ABAA Section 07261 standards.

Declared unit

Coverage rate (kg/m²) = 1.328 kg/m²

Manufacturing data

Reporting period: January 2023 – December 2023 Location: Hampshire, IL

Sensitivity analysis


Sensitivity analyses were performed to check the robustness of the results where the highest potential environmental impacts are occurring. Since there were two raw materials which contributed the most to total impacts across air and vapor barrier products evaluated, sensitivity analyses were conducted on their usage to assess the impact of decreasing their presence in those products.

Global warming potential was evaluated for sensitivity since W. R. Meadows is interested in the potential CO2-equivalent emissions of its products. Decreasing the amount of styrene butadiene copolymer by 10% could reduce the total GWP by 2.9%, and decreasing the amount of LLDPE resin could lower the total $\ensuremath{\mathsf{GWP}}$ by 13.8%. This shows that global warming potential is sensitive to the amount of LLDPE resin present in the products.

Material composition by wt%

MATERIAL	WT %
SBS-modified bitumen mixture	60-75%
Limestone	5-10%
Plastic film	5-10%
Packaging, wood pallet	5-10%
Packaging, paper	5-10%
Packaging, carton	<5%

Average plant impacts by life cycle stage [mPts/decl unit]



What's causing the greatest impacts

All life cycle stages

The raw material acquisition stage dominates the results, accounting for over 70% of the total impacts for nine out of ten TRACI 2.1 impact categories, followed by the manufacturing stage. For global warming, eutrophication, carcinogenics, and non-carcinogens, manufacturing accounted for second-highest contributor and over 20% of the total results. Among the raw materials, the polyethylene resin was the largest contributor to the total results.

Raw material acquisition

The raw material acquisition (A1) stage has the most significant contribution to most impact categories, primarily due to the polyethylene resin. The polyethylene resin also contributes the highest percentage to the material composition.

Transportation

The transportation (A2) of raw materials is the least impactful contributor to the total results. Materials are sourced from within America and transported via semi-truck to the manufacturing facility.

Manufacturing

Manufacturing (A3) is the second highest contributor to most impact categories. The primary driver of environmental impacts within the manufacturing stage is the energy required to produce the panels. However, impacts from the manufacturing stage dominate the results for global warming, ozone depletion, and fossil fuel depletion, stemming primarily from the use of electricity and natural gas. Activities in this stage also include final product packaging and manufacturing waste disposal.

Embodied carbon

Embodied carbon can be defined as the cradle-to-gate (A1-A3) global warming potential impacts. The total embodied carbon per declared unit of AIR-SHIELD[™] is 1.50E+00 kg CO₂-eq.

How we're making it greener

- W. R. MEADOWS utilizes OEE (Overall Equipment Effectiveness) to optimize manufacturing processes and reduce energy consumption.
- OEE identifies inefficiencies and wasted energy: By monitoring equipment performance, it uncovers downtime, slow speeds, and quality defects.
- Energy-saving improvements through OEE: Meadows has achieved streamlined processes, better scheduling, reduced machine wear, and lower energy costs.
- Reduction in scrap and waste: OEE insights help identify performance issues, reducing defects, delays, and material waste while enhancing product quality.
- Supports sustainability and environmental goals: By lowering energy use and cutting carbon footprints, OEE fosters more sustainable manufacturing practices.

See how we make it greener

LCA results

LIFE CYCLE STAGE	A1 RAW MATERIAL ACQUISITION	A2 TRANSPORT	A3 MANUFACTURING
	(X) A1 Raw material acquisition	(X) A2 Transport	(X) A3 Manufacturing
Information modules: Included (X) Excluded (MND)* *Modules A4, A5, B, C, and D are excluded.		LOCALLY R	Paning at

SM Single Score Learn about SM Single Score results

Impacts per declared unit	9.11E-02 mPts	6.28E-03 mPts	1.64E-02 mPts
Materials or processes contributing >20% to to total impacts in each life cycle stage	Extraction and preprocessing of polymers, mastic asphalt, and other raw materials.	Truck transportation to manufacturing facility.	Electricity and natural gas consumption during the manufacturing process.

Life cycle impact results per declared unit

LIFE CYCLE STAGE			A1 RAW MATERIAL SUPPLY	A2 TRANSPORT	A3 MANUFACTURING
Ecological damage					
Impact category	Unit				
GWP, IPCC TOTAL	kg CO₂ eq	0	1.22E+00	1.05E-01	1.98E-01
GWP, IPCC BIOGENIC	kg CO₂ eq	0	-1.39E-02	0.00E+00	1.39E-02
GWP, IPCC FOSSIL	kg CO₂ eq	0	1.23E+00	1.05E-01	1.84E-01
GWP, TRACI 2.1 TOTAL	kg CO₂ eq	Ø	1.19E+00	1.04E-01	2.04E-01
GWP, TRACI 2.1 BIOGENIC	kg CO₂ eq	0	-1.39E-02	0.00E+00	1.39E-02
GWP, TRACI 2.1 FOSSIL	kg CO₂ eq	0	1.21E+00	1.04E-01	1.90E-01
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 eq	0	3.39E-07	1.49E-09	7.59E-09
Acidification	kg SO₂ eq	0	4.62E-03	2.56E-04	9.52E-04
Eutrophication	kg N eq	0	8.34E-04	1.74E-05	3.31E-04

Human health damage

Impact category	Unit				
Smog	kg O ₃ eq	0	6.24E-02	6.58E-03	1.62E-02
Respiratory effects	kg PM _{2.5} eq	0	7.35E-04	3.53E-05	1.78E-04

Additional environmental information

Impact category	Unit				
Carcinogenics	CTU _h	0	71.69%	1.02%	27.29%
Non-carcinogenics	CTU _h	0	44.79%	8.36%	46.86%
Ecotoxicity	CTU _e	0	83.34%	8.72%	7.95%
Fossil fuel depletion	MJ surplus	0	4.54E+00	1.98E-01	2.22E-01

References

LCA Background Report

LCA of W. R. MEADOWS Water Resistive and Air Barriers, 2025. Developed using the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) 100-year time, TRACI v2.1, CML, and Cumulative Energy Demand (LHV) impact assessment methodologies, SimaPro Analyst 9.6 software, and ecoinvent v3.10 and US-EI 2.2 databases.

ISO 14025:2006 Environmental labels and declarations - Type III environmental declarations — Principles and procedures

ISO 21930:2017, Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works --Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products

Rating systems

The intent is to reward project teams for selecting products from manufacturers who have verified improved life-cycle environmental performance.

LEED BD+C: New Construction | v4 - LEED v4

Building product disclosure and optimization

Environmental product declarations

and services

ASTM PCR for Water-Resistive and Air Barriers (UNCPC 54530 and/or CSI MasterFormat DESIGNATIONS 072500, 072600 and 072700); Version 3.0,

September 2024. PCR review conducted by Thomas Gloria, PhD (chair, t.gloria@industrial-ecology.com); Graham Finch (RDH, Building Science, Inc.) and Paul H. Shipp (USG Corporation).

Download PDF SM Transparency Report/ EPD

SM Transparency Reports (TR) are ISO 14025 Type III environmental declarations (EPD) that enable purchasers and users to compare the potential environmental performance of products on a life cycle basis. Environmental declarations from different programs (ISO 14025) may not be comparable. Comparison of the environmental performance using EPD information shall consider all relevant information modules over the full life cycle of the products within the building. This PCR allows EPD comparability only when the same functional requirements between products are ensured and the requirements of ISO 21930:2017 §5.5 are met. It should be noted that different LCA software and background LCI datasets may lead to differences results for upstream or downstream of the life cycle stages declared. LCIA results are relative expressions and do not predict impacts on category endpoints, the exceeding of thresholds, safety margins or risks These six impact categories are globally deemed mature enough to be included in Type III environmental declarations. Other categories are being developed and defined and LCA should continue making advances in their development. However, the EPD users shall not use additional measures for comparative purposes. Comparison of the environmental performance of structural and architectural wood products using EPD information shall be based on the product's use and impacts at the construction works level, and therefore EPDs may not be used for comparability purposes when not considering the construction works energy use phase as instructed under this PCR. Full conformance with the PCR for structural and architectural wood products allows EPD comparability only when all stages of a life cycle have been considered, when they comply with all referenced standards, use the same sub-category Part B PCR, and use equivalent scenarios with respect to construction works. However, variations and deviations are possible. Example of variations: Different LCA software and background LCI datasets may lead to differences results for upstream or downstream of the life cycle stages declared.

V Product-specific Type III EPD

2 points

LEED BD+C: New Construction | v4.1 - LEED v4.1

Building product disclosure and optimization

Environmental product declarations

O Industry-wide (generic) EPD	1 product
Product-specific Type III EPD	1.5 products

Collaborative for High Performance Schools National Criteria

MW C5.1 – Environmental Product Declarations

Green Globes for New Construction and Sustainable Interiors

Materials and resources

VC 3.5.1.2 Path B: Prescriptive Path for Building Core and Shell

VC 3.5.2.2 and SI 4.1.2 Path B: Prescriptive Path for Interior Fit-outs

BREEAM New Construction 2018

Mat 02 - Environmental impacts from construction products

Environmental Product Declarations (EPD)

O Industry-average EPD	.5 points
O Multi-product specific EPD	.75 points
V Product-specific EPD	1 point



SM Transparency Report (EPD)™

EPD	LCA
3rd-party reviewed	۲
Transparency Re	port (EPD)
3rd-party verified	S

Validity: 05/27/25 – 05/27/30 SM-WRM - 05272025 - 007

This Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) was externally verified by Lindita Bushi, PhD, Senior Research Associate at Athena, in accordance with ISO 21930:2017, ASTM International. (2023). Product Category Rules (PCR) for Preparing an **Environmental Product Declaration** (EPD) for Water-Resistive and Air Barriers as well as ISO 14025:2006. Athena Sustainable Materials

Institute 600 Grings Hill Road Sinking Spring, PA 19608 (610) 985-0933



SUMMARY

ASTM PCR for Water-Resistive and Air Barriers

Regions; system boundaries North America; Cradle-to-gate Declared unit: 1 m²

LCIA methodology: TRACI 2.1

LCA software; LCI database

SimaPro Developer 9.6; ecoinvent v3.10, US-EI 2.2 Public LCA:

In accordance with ISO 14044 and the reference PCR, this life cycle assessment was conducted by Sustainable Minds and reviewed by Lindita Bushi, PhD, Senior Research Associate, at Athena.

W.R. MEADOWS, Inc.

300 Industrial Drive P.O. Box 338 Hampshire, IL 60140-0338 (800) 342-5976

Contact us

© 2025 I The SM Transparency Report [EPD][™] Program is operated by Sustainable Minds® (www.sustainableminds.com) I Privacy policy





SM Transparency Catalog ► W. R. MEADOWS ► AIR-SHIELD[™]

LCA results & interpretation

AIR-SHIELD

AIR-SHIELD™	AIR-SHIELD™ THRU-WALL FLASHING		AIR-SHIELD™ LOW TEMP	AIR-SHIELD™ XLT

Scope and summary

Solution Cradle to gate \bigcirc Cradle to gate with options \bigcirc Cradle to grave

Application

AIR-SHIELD™ THRU-WALL FLASHING is a self-adhering, flexible membrane flashing. It is a roll-type product that is nominally 40 mils thick. This material is an air, vapor, and liquid moisture barrier. It is made from cross-laminated polyethylene bonded to modified asphalt. It adheres to various surfaces at temperatures as low as 40°F (4°C) and exceeds the Massachusetts Commercial Energy Code for Building Envelope Systems and meets ABAA Section 07261 standards.

Declared unit

Coverage rate $(kg/m^2) = 1.328 kg/m^2$

Manufacturing data

Reporting period: January 2023 – December 2023 Location: Hampshire, IL

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analyses were performed to check the robustness of the results where the highest potential environmental impacts are occurring. Since there were two raw materials which contributed the most to total impacts across air and vapor barrier products evaluated, sensitivity analyses were conducted on their usage to assess the impact of decreasing their presence in those products.

Global warming potential was evaluated for sensitivity since W. R. Meadows is interested in the potential CO_2 -equivalent emissions of its products. Decreasing the amount of styrene butadiene copolymer by 10% could reduce the total GWP by 2.9%, and decreasing the amount of LLDPE resin could lower the total GWP by 13.8%. This shows that global warming potential is sensitive to the amount of LLDPE resin present in the products.

Material composition by wt%

MATERIAL	WT %
SBS-modified bitumen mixture	60-75%
Limestone	5-10%
Plastic film	5-10%
Packaging, wood pallet	5-10%
Packaging, paper	5-10%
Packaging, carton	<5%

Average plant impacts by life cycle stage [mPts/decl unit]

2.00E-01	LIFE CYCLE STAGE	MPTS/DECL. UNIT
1.80E-01	Raw material acquisition	9.11E-02
1.60E-01 —	Transportation	6.28E-03
1.40E-01 —	Manufacturing	1.64E-02
1.20E-01 —	Total impa	cts = 1.14E-01 mPts
1.00E-01 -		
8.00E-02		
6.00E-01 -		

What's causing the greatest impacts

All life cycle stages

The raw material acquisition stage dominates the results, accounting for over 70% of the total impacts for nine out of ten TRACI 2.1 impact categories, followed by the manufacturing stage. For global warming, eutrophication, carcinogenics, and non-carcinogens, manufacturing accounted for second-highest contributor and over 20% of the total results. Among the raw materials, the polyethylene resin was the largest contributor to the total results.

Raw material acquisition

The raw material acquisition (A1) stage has the most significant contribution to most impact categories, primarily due to the polyethylene resin. The polyethylene resin also contributes the highest percentage to the material composition.

Transportation

The transportation (A2) of raw materials is the least impactful contributor to the total results. Materials are sourced from within America and transported via semi-truck to the manufacturing facility.

Manufacturing

Manufacturing (A3) is the second highest contributor to most impact categories. The primary driver of environmental impacts within the manufacturing stage is the energy required to produce the panels. However, impacts from the manufacturing stage dominate the results for global warming, ozone depletion, and fossil fuel depletion, stemming primarily from the use of electricity and natural gas. Activities in this stage also include final product packaging and manufacturing waste disposal.


Embodied carbon

Embodied carbon can be defined as the cradle-to-gate (A1-A3) global warming potential impacts. The total embodied carbon per declared unit of AIR-SHIELD[™] THRU-WALL FLASHING is 1.50E+00 kg CO₂-eq.

How we're making it greener

- W. R. MEADOWS utilizes OEE (Overall Equipment Effectiveness) to optimize manufacturing processes and reduce energy consumption.
- OEE identifies inefficiencies and wasted energy: By monitoring equipment performance, it uncovers downtime, slow speeds, and quality defects.
- Energy-saving improvements through OEE: Meadows has achieved streamlined processes, better scheduling, reduced machine wear, and lower energy costs.
- Reduction in scrap and waste: OEE insights help identify performance issues, reducing defects, delays, and material waste while enhancing product quality.
- Supports sustainability and environmental goals: By lowering energy use and cutting carbon footprints, OEE fosters more sustainable manufacturing practices.

See how we make it greener



LCA results

LIFE CYCLE STAGE	A1 RAW MATERIAL ACQUISITION	A2 TRANSPORT	A3 MANUFACTURING
	(X) A1 Raw material acquisition	(X) A2 Transport	(X) A3 Manufacturing
Information modules: Included (X) Excluded (MND)* *Modules A4, A5, B, C, and D are excluded.		UDEALLY RA	Jas Shield

SM Single Score Learn about SM Single Score results

Impacts per declared unit	9.11E-02 mPts	6.28E-03 mPts	1.64E-02 mPts
Materials or processes contributing >20% to to total impacts in each life cycle stage	Extraction and preprocessing of polymers, mastic asphalt, and other raw materials.	Truck transportation to manufacturing facility.	Electricity and natural gas consumption during the manufacturing process.

Life cycle impact results per declared unit

LIFE CYCLE STAGE			A1 RAW MATERIAL SUPPLY	A2 TRANSPORT	A3 MANUFACTURING
Ecological damage					
Impact category	Unit				
GWP, IPCC TOTAL	kg CO₂ eq	0	1.22E+00	1.05E-01	1.98E-01
GWP, IPCC BIOGENIC	kg CO ₂ eq	0	-1.39E-02	0.00E+00	1.39E-02
GWP, IPCC FOSSIL	kg CO₂ eq	0	1.23E+00	1.05E-01	1.84E-01
GWP, TRACI 2.1 TOTAL	kg CO ₂ eq	0	1.19E+00	1.04E-01	2.04E-01
GWP, TRACI 2.1 BIOGENIC	kg CO₂ eq	0	-1.39E-02	0.00E+00	1.39E-02
GWP, TRACI 2.1 FOSSIL	kg CO ₂ eq	0	1.21E+00	1.04E-01	1.90E-01
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 eq	0	3.39E-07	1.49E-09	7.59E-09
Acidification	kg SO₂ eq	0	4.62E-03	2.56E-04	9.52E-04
Eutrophication	kg N eq	0	8.34E-04	1.74E-05	3.31E-04

Human health damage

Impact category	Unit				
Smog	kg O ₃ eq	0	6.24E-02	6.58E-03	1.62E-02
Respiratory effects	kg PM _{2.5} eq	0	7.35E-04	3.53E-05	1.78E-04

Additional environmental information

Impact category	Unit				
Carcinogenics	CTU _h	0	71.69%	1.02%	27.29%
Non-carcinogenics	CTU _h	0	44.79%	8.36%	46.86%
Ecotoxicity	CTU	0	83.34%	8.72%	7.95%
Fossil fuel depletion	MJ surplus	0	4.54E+00	1.98E-01	2.22E-01

References

LCA Background Report

LCA of W. R. MEADOWS Water Resistive and Air Barriers, 2025. Developed using the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) 100-year time, TRACI v2.1, CML, and Cumulative Energy Demand (LHV) impact assessment methodologies, SimaPro Analyst 9.6 software, and ecoinvent v3.10 and US-EI 2.2 databases.

ISO 14025:2006 Environmental labels and declarations - Type III environmental declarations — Principles and procedures

ISO 21930:2017, Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works --

Rating systems

The intent is to reward project teams for selecting products from manufacturers who have verified improved life-cycle environmental performance.

LEED BD+C: New Construction | v4 - LEED v4

Building product disclosure and optimization

Environmental product declarations

S

Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products and services

ASTM PCR for Water-Resistive and Air Barriers (UNCPC 54530 and/or CSI MasterFormat DESIGNATIONS 072500, 072600 and 072700); Version 3.0,

September 2024. PCR review conducted by Thomas Gloria, PhD (chair, t.gloria@industrial-ecology.com); Graham Finch (RDH, Building Science, Inc.) and Paul H. Shipp (USG Corporation).

Download PDF SM Transparency Report/ EPD

SM Transparency Reports (TR) are ISO 14025 Type III environmental declarations (EPD) that enable purchasers and users to compare the potential environmental performance of products on a life cycle basis. Environmental declarations from different programs (ISO 14025) may not be comparable. Comparison of the environmental performance using EPD information shall consider all relevant information modules over the full life cycle of the products within the building. This PCR allows EPD comparability only when the same functional requirements between products are ensured and the requirements of ISO 21930:2017 §5.5 are met. It should be noted that different LCA software and background LCI datasets may lead to differences results for upstream or downstream of the life cycle stages declared. LCIA results are relative expressions and do not predict impacts on category endpoints, the exceeding of thresholds, safety margins or risks These six impact categories are globally deemed mature enough to be included in Type III environmental declarations. Other categories are being developed and defined and LCA should continue making advances in their development. However, the EPD users shall not use additional measures for comparative purposes. Comparison of the environmental performance of structural and architectural wood products using EPD information shall be based on the product's use and impacts at the construction works level, and therefore EPDs may not be used for comparability purposes when not considering the construction works energy use phase as instructed under this PCR. Full conformance with the PCR for structural and architectural wood products allows EPE comparability only when all stages of a life cycle have been considered, when they comply with all referenced standards, use the same sub-category Part B PCR, and use equivalent scenarios with respect to construction works. However, variations and deviations are possible. Example of variations: Different LCA software and background LCI datasets may lead to differences results for upstream or downstream of the life cycle stages declared.

industry-wide (generic) EPD	⁷² product
Product-specific Type III EPD	1 product

LEED BD+C: New Construction | v4.1 - LEED v4.1

Building product disclosure and optimization

Environmental product declarations

O Industry-wide (generic) EPD	1 product
Product-specific Type III EPD	1.5 products

Collaborative for High Performance Schools National Criteria

MW C5.1 – Environmental Product Declarations

S Third-party certified type III EPD

2 points

Green Globes for New Construction and Sustainable Interiors

Materials and resources

VC 3.5.1.2 Path B: Prescriptive Path for Building Core and Shell

VC 3.5.2.2 and SI 4.1.2 Path B: Prescriptive Path for Interior Fit-outs

BREEAM New Construction 2018

Mat 02 - Environmental impacts from construction products

Environmental Product Declarations (EPD)

○ Industry-average EPD	.5 points
Multi-product specific EPD	.75 points
Product-specific EPD	1 point



SM Transparency Report (EPD)™

EPD	LCA
3rd-party reviewed	♥
Transparency Re	port (EPD)
3rd-party verified	0

Validity: 05/27/25 – 05/27/30 SM-WRM - 05272025 - 007

This Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) was externally verified by Lindita Bushi, PhD, Senior Research Associate at Athena, in accordance with ISO 21930:2017, ASTM International. (2023). Product Category Rules (PCR) for Preparing an **Environmental Product Declaration** (EPD) for Water-Resistive and Air Barriers as well as ISO 14025:2006.

Institute

600 Grings Hill Road Sinking Spring, PA 19608 (610) 985-0933



Athena Sustainable Materials

Athena Institute

SUMMARY

Reference PCR Air Barrier

Regions; system boundaries North America; Cradle-to-gate

Declared unit: 1 m² LCIA methodology: TRACI 2.1

LCA software; LCI database SimaPro Developer 9.6; ecoinvent v3.10, US-EI 2.2

Public LCA: CA of W. R. MEADOWS Water-esistive and Air Barriers

In accordance with ISO 14044 and the reference PCR, this life cycle assessment was conducted by Sustainable Minds and reviewed by Lindita Bushi, PhD, Senior Research Associate, at Athena.

W.R. MEADOWS, Inc.

300 Industrial Drive P.O. Box 338 Hampshire, IL 60140-0338 (800) 342-5976

Contact us





LCA results & interpretation

AIR-SHIELD[®]

	IIELD™ THRU-WALL FLASHING	AIR-SHIELD™ LOW TEMP	AIR-SHIELD™ XLT

Scope and summary

Cradle to gate Cradle to gate with options Cradle to grave

Application

AIR-SHIELD LOW TEMP is a sheet-applied air barrier designed for cold weather applications, adhering at temperatures as low as 20°F (-7°C). It features a 40mil membrane made from cross-laminated polyethylene and modified asphalt, meeting the Massachusetts Commercial Energy Code and ABAA Section 07261.

Declared unit

Coverage rate $(kg/m^2) = 1.328 kg/m^2$

Manufacturing data

Reporting period: January 2023 – December 2023 **Location:** Hampshire, IL


Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analyses were performed to check the robustness of the results where the highest potential environmental impacts are occurring. Since there were two raw materials which contributed the most to total impacts across air and vapor barrier products evaluated, sensitivity analyses were conducted on their usage to assess the impact of decreasing their presence in those products.

Global warming potential was evaluated for sensitivity since W. R. Meadows is interested in the potential CO_2 -equivalent emissions of its products. Decreasing the amount of styrene butadiene copolymer by 10% could reduce the total GWP by 2.9%, and decreasing the amount of LLDPE resin could lower the total GWP by 13.8%. This shows that global warming potential is sensitive to the amount of LLDPE resin present in the products.

Material composition by wt%MATERIALWT%SBS-modified bitumen mixture65-80%Limestone5-10%Plastic film5-10%Packaging, wood pallet5-10%Packaging, paper5-10%Packaging, carton<5%</td>

Average plant impacts by life cycle stage [mPts/decl unit]



What's causing the greatest impacts

All life cycle stages

The raw material acquisition stage dominates the results, accounting for over 70% of the total impacts for nine out of ten TRACI 2.1 impact categories, followed by the manufacturing stage. For global warming, eutrophication, carcinogenics, and non-carcinogens, manufacturing accounted for second-highest contributor and over 20% of the total results. Among the raw materials, the polyethylene resin was the largest contributor to the total results.

Raw material acquisition

The raw material acquisition (A1) stage has the most significant contribution to most impact categories, primarily due to the polyethylene resin. The polyethylene resin also contributes the highest percentage to the material composition.

Transportation

The transportation (A2) of raw materials is the least impactful contributor to the total results. Materials are sourced from within America and transported via semi-truck to the manufacturing facility.

Manufacturing

Manufacturing (A3) is the second highest contributor to most impact categories. The primary driver of environmental impacts within the manufacturing stage is the energy required to produce the panels. However, impacts from the manufacturing stage dominate the results for global warming, ozone depletion, and fossil fuel depletion, stemming primarily from the use of electricity and natural gas. Activities in this stage also include final product packaging and manufacturing waste disposal.

Embodied carbon

Embodied carbon can be defined as the cradle-to-gate (A1-A3) global warming potential impacts. The total embodied carbon per declared unit of AIR-SHIELD^T LOW TEMP is 2.32E+00 kg CO₂-eq.

How we're making it greener

- W. R. MEADOWS utilizes OEE (Overall Equipment Effectiveness) to optimize manufacturing processes and reduce energy consumption.
- OEE identifies inefficiencies and wasted energy: By monitoring equipment performance, it uncovers downtime, slow speeds, and quality defects.
- Energy-saving improvements through OEE: Meadows has achieved streamlined processes, better scheduling, reduced machine wear, and lower energy costs.
- Reduction in scrap and waste: OEE insights help identify performance issues, reducing defects, delays, and material waste while enhancing product quality.
- Supports sustainability and environmental goals: By lowering energy use and cutting carbon footprints, OEE fosters more sustainable manufacturing practices.

See how we make it greener

LCA results

LIFE CYCLE STAGE	A1 RAW MATERIAL ACQUISITION	A2 TRANSPORT	A3 MANUFACTURING
	(X) A1 Raw material acquisition	(X) A2 Transport	(X) A3 Manufacturing
Information modules: Included (X) Excluded (MND)* *Modules A4, A5, B, C, and D are excluded.	CONTINUED OF CONTI	UCALV R	Alle sales

SM Single Score Learn about SM Single Score results

Impacts per declared unit	1.56E-01 mPts	8.27E-03 mPts	2.09E-02 mPts	
Materials or processes contributing >20% to to total impacts in each life cycle stage	Extraction and preprocessing of polymers, mastic asphalt, and other raw materials.	Truck transportation to manufacturing facility.	Electricity and natural gas consumption during the manufacturing process.	

Life cycle impact results per declared unit

LIFE CYCLE STAGE			A1 RAW MATERIAL SUPPLY	A2 TRANSPORT	A3 MANUFACTURING
Ecological damage					
Impact category	Unit				
GWP, IPCC TOTAL	kg CO ₂ eq	0	1.97E+00	1.38E-01	2.52E-01
GWP, IPCC BIOGENIC	kg CO ₂ eq	0	-1.80E-02	0.00E+00	1.80E-02
GWP, IPCC FOSSIL	kg CO₂ eq	0	1.98E+00	1.38E-01	2.34E-01
GWP, TRACI 2.1 TOTAL	kg CO ₂ eq	0	1.92E+00	1.37E-01	2.60E-01
GWP, TRACI 2.1 BIOGENIC	kg CO ₂ eq	0	-1.80E-02	0.00E+00	1.80E-02
GWP, TRACI 2.1 FOSSIL	kg CO ₂ eq	0	1.94E+00	1.37E-01	2.42E-01
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 eq	0	3.58E-07	1.97E-09	9.62E-09
Acidification	kg SO₂ eq	0	7.58E-03	3.38E-04	1.21E-03
Eutrophication	kg N eq	0	1.61E-03	2.30E-05	4.22E-04

Human health damage

Impact category	Unit				
Smog	kg O ₃ eq	0	1.00E-01	8.67E-03	2.07E-02
Respiratory effects	kg PM _{2.5} eq	0	1.16E-03	4.65E-05	2.27E-04

Additional environmental information

Impact category	Unit				
Carcinogenics	CTU _h	0	77.89%	0.82%	21.29%
Non-carcinogenics	CTU _h	0	51.84%	7.53%	40.63%
Ecotoxicity	CTU _e	0	87.85%	6.41%	5.75%
Fossil fuel depletion	MJ surplus	0	7.46E+00	2.61E-01	2.81E-01

References

LCA Background Report

LCA of W. R. MEADOWS Water Resistive and Air Barriers, 2025. Developed using the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) 100-year time, TRACI v2.1, CML, and Cumulative Energy Demand (LHV) impact assessment methodologies, SimaPro Analyst 9.6 software, and ecoinvent v3.10 and US-EI 2.2 databases.

ISO 14025:2006 Environmental labels and declarations — Type III environmental declarations — Principles and procedures

ISO 21930:2017, Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works --Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products and services

Rating systems

The intent is to reward project teams for selecting products from manufacturers who have verified improved life-cycle environmental performance.

LEED BD+C: New Construction | v4 - LEED v4

Building product disclosure and optimization

Environmental product declarations

O Industry-wide (generic) EPD	½ product
Product-specific Type III EPD	1 product

ASTM PCR for Water-Resistive and Air Barriers (UNCPC 54530 and/or CSI MasterFormat DESIGNATIONS 072500, 072600 and 072700); Version 3.0, September 2024. PCR review conducted by Thomas Gloria, PhD (chair,

t.gloria@industrial-ecology.com); Graham Finch (RDH, Building Science, Inc.) and Paul H. Shipp (USG Corporation).

Download PDF SM Transparency Report/ EPD

SM Transparency Reports (TR) are ISO 14025 Type III environmental declarations (EPD) that enable purchasers and users to compare the potential environmental performance of products on a life cycle basis. Environmental declarations from different programs (ISO 14025) may not be comparable. Comparison of the environmental performance using EPD information shall consider all relevant information modules over the full life cycle of the products within the building. This PCR allows EPD comparability only when the same functional requirements between products are ensured and the requirements of ISO 21930:2017 §5.5 are met, It should be noted that different LCA software and background LCI datasets may lead to differences results for upstream or downstream of the life cycle stages declared. LCIA results are relative expressions and do not predict impacts on category endpoints, the exceeding of thresholds, safety margins or risks These six impact categories are globally deemed mature enough to be included in Type III environmental declarations. Other categories are being developed and defined and LCA should continue making advances in their development. However, the EPD users shall not use additional measures for comparative purposes. Comparison of the environmental performance of structural and architectural wood products using EPD information shall be based on the product's use and impacts at the construction works level, and therefore EPDs may not be used for comparability purposes when not considering the construction works energy use phase as instructed under this PCR. Full conformance with the PCR for structural and architectural wood products allows EPD comparability only when all stages of a life cycle have been considered, when they comply with all referenced standards, use the same sub-category Part B PCR, and use equivalent scenarios with respect to construction works. However, variations and deviations are possible. Example of variations: Different LCA software and background LCI datasets may lead to differences results for upstream or downstream of the life cycle stages declared.

LEED BD+C: New Construction | v4.1 - LEED v4.1

Building product disclosure and optimization

Environmental product declarations

Industry-wide (generic) EPD	1 product
S Product-specific Type III EPD	1.5 products

Collaborative for High Performance Schools National Criteria

MW C5.1 – Environmental Product Declarations

	Third party cortified type III EPD	2 point
V	Third-party certified type III EPD	2 points

Green Globes for New Construction and Sustainable Interiors

Materials and resources

VC 3.5.1.2 Path B: Prescriptive Path for Building Core and Shell

VC 3.5.2.2 and SI 4.1.2 Path B: Prescriptive Path for Interior Fit-outs

BREEAM New Construction 2018

Mat 02 - Environmental impacts from construction products

Environmental Product Declarations (EPD)

O Industry-average EPD	.5 points
Multi-product specific EPD	.75 points
Product-specific EPD	1 point



SM Transparency Report (EPD)™

EPD	LCA
3rd-party reviewed	S
Transparency Re	eport (EPD)
3rd-party verified	۲

Validity: 05/27/25 - 05/27/30 SM-WRM - 05272025 - 007

This Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) was externally verified by Lindita Bushi, PhD, Senior Research Associate at Athena, in accordance with ISO 21930:2017, ASTM International. (2023). Product Category Rules (PCR) for Preparing an Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) for Water-Resistive and Air Barriers as well as ISO 14025:2006.

Athena Sustainable Materials Institute 600 Grings Hill Road Sinking Spring, PA 19608 https://www.athenasmi.org/ (610) 985-0933



SUMMARY

Reference PCR ASTM PCR for Water-Resistive and Air Barriers

Regions; system boundaries North America; Cradle-to-gate

Declared unit: 1 m² **LCIA methodology:** TRACI 2.1

LCA software; LCI database SimaPro Developer 9.6; ecoinvent

v3.10, US-EI 2.2 Public LCA: LCA of W. R. MEADOWS Water-

In accordance with ISO 14044 and the reference PCR, this life cycle assessment was conducted by Sustainable Minds and reviewed by Lindita Bushi, PhD, Senior Research Associate, at Athena.

W.R. MEADOWS, Inc. 300 Industrial Drive P.O. Box 338 Hampshire, IL 60140-0338 wrmeadows.com

(800) 342-5976

Contact us

© 2025 | The SM Transparency Report [EPD]** Program is operated by Sustainable Minds* (www.sustainableminds.com) | Privacy policy





LCA results & interpretation

AIR-SHIELD^T

	AIR-SHIELD™ THRU-WALL FLASHING		AIR-SHIELD™ LOW TEMP	AIR-SHIELD™ XLT

Scope and summary

Solution Cradle to gate \bigcirc Cradle to gate with options \bigcirc Cradle to grave

Application

AIR-SHIELD XLT is a sheet-applied air barrier designed for extreme cold weather conditions, adhering at temperatures as low as 0°F (-18°C). It features a 40mil membrane made from cross-laminated polyethylene and modified asphalt, meeting the Massachusetts Commercial Energy Code and ABAA Section 07261.

Declared unit

Coverage rate $(kg/m^2) = 1.328 kg/m^2$

Manufacturing data

Reporting period: January 2023 – December 2023 Location: Hampshire, IL

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analyses were performed to check the robustness of the results where the highest potential environmental impacts are occurring. Since there were two raw materials which contributed the most to total impacts across air and vapor barrier products evaluated, sensitivity analyses were conducted on their usage to assess the impact of decreasing their presence in those products.

Global warming potential was evaluated for sensitivity since W. R. Meadows is interested in the potential CO_2 -equivalent emissions of its products. Decreasing the amount of styrene butadiene copolymer by 10% could reduce the total GWP by 2.9%, and decreasing the amount of LLDPE resin could lower the total GWP by 13.8%. This shows that global warming potential is sensitive to the amount of LLDPE resin present in the products.

Material composition by wt%MATERIALWT%SBS-modified bitumen mixture40-45%Limestone15-20%Plastic film5-10%Packaging, wood pallet5-10%Packaging, paper<5%</td>Packaging, carton<5%</td>

Average plant impacts by life cycle stage [mPts/decl unit]

2.00E-01		LIF	E CYCLE STAGE	MPTS/DECL. UNIT
1.80E-01		•	Raw material acquisition	1.36E-01
1.60E-01			Transportation	6.73E-03
1.40E-01			Manufacturing	1.83E-02
1.20E-01	-	-	Total impa	cts = 1.61E-01 mPts
1.00E-01	-	_		
8.00E-02	-	_		
6.00E-01		_		

What's causing the greatest impacts

All life cycle stages

The raw material acquisition stage dominates the results, accounting for over 70% of the total impacts for nine out of ten TRACI 2.1 impact categories, followed by the manufacturing stage. For global warming, eutrophication, carcinogenics, and non-carcinogens, manufacturing accounted for second-highest contributor and over 20% of the total results. Among the raw materials, the polyethylene resin was the largest contributor to the total results.

Raw material acquisition

The raw material acquisition (A1) stage has the most significant contribution to most impact categories, primarily due to the polyethylene resin. The polyethylene resin also contributes the highest percentage to the material composition.

Transportation

The transportation (A2) of raw materials is the least impactful contributor to the total results. Materials are sourced from within America and transported via semi-truck to the manufacturing facility.

Manufacturing

Manufacturing (A3) is the second highest contributor to most impact categories. The primary driver of environmental impacts within the manufacturing stage is the energy required to produce the panels. However, impacts from the manufacturing stage dominate the results for global warming, ozone depletion, and fossil fuel depletion, stemming primarily from the use of electricity and natural gas. Activities in this stage also include final product packaging and manufacturing waste disposal.

Embodied carbon

Embodied carbon can be defined as the cradle-to-gate (A1-A3) global warming potential impacts. The total embodied carbon per declared unit of AIR-SHIELD^m XLT is 2.02E+00 kg CO₂-eq.

How we're making it greener

- W. R. MEADOWS utilizes OEE (Overall Equipment Effectiveness) to optimize manufacturing processes and reduce energy consumption.
- OEE identifies inefficiencies and wasted energy: By monitoring equipment performance, it uncovers downtime, slow speeds, and quality defects.
- Energy-saving improvements through OEE: Meadows has achieved streamlined processes, better scheduling, reduced machine wear, and lower energy costs.
- Reduction in scrap and waste: OEE insights help identify performance issues, reducing defects, delays, and material waste while enhancing product quality.
- Supports sustainability and environmental goals: By lowering energy use and cutting carbon footprints, OEE fosters more sustainable manufacturing practices.

See how we make it greener



4.00E-01

LCA results

LIFE CYCLE STAGE	A1 RAW MATERIAL ACQUISITION	A2 TRANSPORT	A3 MANUFACTURING
	(X) A1 Raw material acquisition	(X) A2 Transport	(X) A3 Manufacturing
Information modules: Included (X) Excluded (MND)* *Modules A4, A5, B, C, and D are excluded.		UCRALY R	Alle State

SM Single Score Learn about SM Single Score results

Impacts per declared unit	1.36E-01 mPts	6.73E-03 mPts	1.83E-02 mPts		
Materials or processes contributing >20% to to total impacts in each life cycle stage	Extraction and preprocessing of polymers, mastic asphalt, and other raw materials.	Truck transportation to manufacturing facility.	Electricity and natural gas consumption during the manufacturing process.		

Life cycle impact results per declared unit

LIFE CYCLE STAGE			A1 RAW MATERIAL SUPPLY	A2 TRANSPORT	A3 MANUFACTURING
Ecological damage					
Impact category	Unit				
GWP, IPCC TOTAL	kg CO ₂ eq	0	1.73E+00	1.13E-01	2.14E-01
GWP, IPCC BIOGENIC	kg CO₂ eq	0	-1.52E-02	0.00E+00	1.52E-02
GWP, IPCC FOSSIL	kg CO₂ eq	0	1.74E+00	1.13E-01	1.99E-01
GWP, TRACI 2.1 TOTAL	kg CO ₂ eq	0	1.69E+00	1.11E-01	2.21E-01
GWP, TRACI 2.1 BIOGENIC	kg CO₂ eq	0	-1.52E-02	0.00E+00	1.52E-02
GWP, TRACI 2.1 FOSSIL	kg CO₂ eq	0	1.70E+00	1.11E-01	2.06E-01
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 eq	0	3.51E-07	1.60E-09	8.75E-09
Acidification	kg SO₂ eq	0	6.56E-03	2.75E-04	1.02E-03
Eutrophication	kg N eq	0	1.40E-03	1.87E-05	3.54E-04

Human health damage

Impact category	Unit				
Smog	kg O ₃ eq	0	8.63E-02	7.05E-03	1.73E-02
Respiratory effects	kg PM _{2.5} eq	0	1.00E-03	3.78E-05	1.93E-04

Additional environmental information

Impact category	Unit				
Carcinogenics	CTU _h	0	77.14%	0.79%	22.07%
Non-carcinogenics	CTU _h	0	50.56%	6.99%	42.45%
Ecotoxicity	CTU	0	88.27%	5.94%	5.79%
Fossil fuel depletion	MJ surplus	0	6.54E+00	2.12E-01	2.44E-01

References

LCA Background Report

LCA of W. R. MEADOWS Water Resistive and Air Barriers, 2025. Developed using the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) 100-year time, TRACI v2.1, CML, and Cumulative Energy Demand (LHV) impact assessment methodologies, SimaPro Analyst 9.6 software, and ecoinvent v3.10 and US-EI 2.2 databases.

ISO 14025:2006 Environmental labels and declarations — Type III environmental declarations — Principles and procedures

ISO 21930:2017, Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works --Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products and services

Rating systems

The intent is to reward project teams for selecting products from manufacturers who have verified improved life-cycle environmental performance.

LEED BD+C: New Construction | v4 - LEED v4

Building product disclosure and optimization

Environmental product declarations

		-
industry while (generic) Er b		
Industry-wide (generic) EPD		

ASTM PCR for Water-Resistive and Air Barriers (UNCPC 54530 and/or CSI MasterFormat DESIGNATIONS 072500, 072600 and 072700); Version 3.0,

September 2024. PCR review conducted by Thomas Gloria, PhD (chair, t.gloria@industrial-ecology.com); Graham Finch (RDH, Building Science, Inc.) and Paul H. Shipp (USG Corporation).

Download PDF SM Transparency Report/ EPD

SM Transparency Reports (TR) are ISO 14025 Type III environmental declarations (EPD) that enable purchasers and users to compare the potential environmental performance of products on a life cycle basis. Environmental declarations from different programs (ISO 14025) may not be comparable. Comparison of the environmental performance using EPD information shall consider all relevant information modules over the full life cycle of the products within the building. This PCR allows EPD comparability only when the same functional requirements between products are ensured and the requirements of ISO 21930:2017 §5.5 are met. It should be noted that different LCA software and background LCI datasets may lead to differences results for upstream or downstream of the life cycle stages declared. LCIA results are relative expressions and do not predict impacts on category endpoints, the exceeding of thresholds, safety margins or risks These six impact categories are globally deemed mature enough to be included in Type III environmental declarations. Other categories are being developed and defined and LCA should continue making advances in their development. However, the EPD users shall not use additional measures for comparative purposes. Comparison of the environmental performance of structural and architectural wood products using EPD information shall be based on the product's use and impacts at the construction works level, and therefore EPDs may not be used for comparability purposes when not considering the construction works energy use phase as instructed under this PCR. Full conformance with the PCR for structural and architectural wood products allows EPD comparability only when all stages of a life cycle have been considered, when they comply with all referenced standards, use the same sub-category Part B PCR, and use equivalent scenarios with respect to construction works. However, variations and deviations are possible. Example of variations: Different LCA software and background LCI datasets may lead to differences results for upstream or downstream of the life cycle stages declared.

🔮 Product-specific Type III EPD

1/2 product

LEED BD+C: New Construction | v4.1 - LEED v4.1

Building product disclosure and optimization

Environmental product declarations

O Industry-wide (generic) EPD	1 product
V Product-specific Type III EPD	1.5 products

Collaborative for High Performance Schools National Criteria

MW C5.1 – Environmental Product Declarations

Third-party certified type III EPD

2 points

Green Globes for New Construction and Sustainable Interiors

Materials and resources

VC 3.5.1.2 Path B: Prescriptive Path for Building Core and Shell

VC 3.5.2.2 and SI 4.1.2 Path B: Prescriptive Path for Interior Fit-outs

BREEAM New Construction 2018

Mat 02 - Environmental impacts from construction products

Environmental Product Declarations (EPD)

Industry-average EPD	.5 points
O Multi-product specific EPD	.75 points
V Product-specific EPD	1 point

5

SM Transparency Report (EPD)™

EPD	LCA
3rd-party reviewed	۲
Transparency Re	port (EPD)
3rd-party verified	<

Validity: 05/27/25 - 05/27/30 SM-WRM - 05272025 - 007 This Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) was externally verified by Lindita Bushi, PhD, Senior Research Associate at Athena, in accordance with ISO 21930:2017, ASTM International. (2023). Product Category Rules (PCR) for Preparing an Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) for Water-Resistive and Air Barriers as well as ISO 14025:2006. Athena Sustainable Materials

Institute 600 Grings Hill Road Sinking Spring, PA 19608 https://www.athenasmi.org/ (610) 985-0933



SUMMARY

Reference PCR ASTM PCR for Water-Resistive and Air Barriers

Regions; system boundaries North America; Cradle-to-gate Declared unit: 1 m^2

LCIA methodology: TRACI 2.1

LCA software; LCI database SimaPro Developer 9.6; ecoinvent

v3.10, US-EI 2.2 Public LCA: LCA of W. R. MEADOWS Water-

Resistive and Air Barriers In accordance with ISO 14044 and

the reference PCR, this life cycle assessment was conducted by Sustainable Minds and reviewed by Lindita Bushi, PhD, Senior Research Associate, at Athena.

W.R. MEADOWS, Inc.

300 Industrial Drive P.O. Box 338 Hampshire, IL 60140-0338

(800) 342-5976

Contact us

© 2025 | The SM Transparency Report [EPD]" Program is operated by Sustainable Minds® (www.sustainableminds.com) | Privacy policy

SM Transparency Catalog ► W. R. MEADOWS ► AIR-SHIELD[™]

EPD additional content

AIR-SHIELD[™]

EPD additional content

Data

Scenarios and additional technical information

for as biogenic carbon emissions in the installation stage (A5).

Background This product-specific plant-specific declaration was created by collecting production data from the facility in Hampshire, IL. All unit processes were modeled using primary data. Secondary data sources include those available in the ecoinvent v3.10 and US-EI 2.2 databases.

Product-specific packaging includes poly bags which are typically disposed of at the site of installation.

Allocation The W. R. MEADOWS facility produces multiple types of products each year. To allocate electricity and natural gas consumption accurately to each product, total annual energy consumption was distributed based on the proportion of each product's annual production (by mass) relative to the total plant production. This approach assigns manufacturing activities proportionally to each product type.

All associated manufacturing resources and waste flows were allocated using the same approach. There are no co-products produced during their manufacturing processes. The model used in the LCA ensures that the sum of the allocated inputs and outputs of a unit process shall be equal to the inputs Flow diagram and outputs of the unit process before allocation. This means that no double counting or omissions of inputs or outputs through allocation is occurring.

 $\ensuremath{\text{Cut-off criteria}}$ for the inclusion of mass and energy flows are 1% of renewable primary resource (energy) usage, 1% nonrenewable primary resource (energy) usage, 1% of the total mass input of that unit process, and 1% of environmental impacts. The total of neglected input flows per module does not exceed 5% of energy usage, mass, and environmental impacts.

The only exceptions to these criteria are substances with hazardous and toxic properties, which must be listed even when the given process unit is under the cut-off criterion of 1% of the total mass. No known flows are deliberately excluded from this declaration, and no substances considered to be hazardous or toxic according to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), Subtitle C are present in the products. Therefore, these criteria have been met. Biogenic carbon is included in reported results.

Quality

The precision of the data is considered high. W. R. MEADOWS personnel provided a detailed bill of materials, and facility managers provided utility information for the manufacturing facility. The raw material transportation distances were provided directly by the facility.

The data included is considered complete. The LCA model included all known material and energy flows. As pointed out in that section, no known flows above 1% were excluded and the sum of all excluded flows totals less than 5%, whether evaluated by mass, energy, or potential environmental impact.

The consistency of the model is considered high. Furthermore, the modeling assumptions were consistent throughout the model, with a preference for the ecoinvent v3.10 database.

Major system boundary exclusions

Manufacture and transport of packaging not associated with final product

- Construction of major capital equipment
- Maintenance and operation of support equipment
- Human labor and employee transport
- Disposal of final product, except for biogenic carbon balance reporting


Product name	Biogenic carbon emission from packaging (A5)
AIR-SHIELD	5.48E-01 Kg CO2
AIR-SHIELD THRU-WALL FLASHING	5.48E-01 Kg CO2
AIR-SHIELD LOW TEMP	6.93E-01 Kg CO2
AIR-SHIELD XLT	4.18E-01 Kg CO2


Biogenic carbon disclosure in installation stage [A5] While the impacts from

installation are out of the scope of this cradle-to-gate study, ISO 21930:2017

requires that biogenic carbon emissions associated with packaging disposed

of after product installation are separately reported. The biogenic carbon removals from packaging in the manufacturing stage (A3) are later accounted







Major assumptions and limitations

- Generic data sets used for material inputs, transport, and waste processing are considered good quality, but actual impacts from material suppliers, transport carriers, and local waste processing may vary
- The impact assessment methodology categories do not represent all possible environmental impact categories; characterization factors used within the impact assessment methodology may contain varying levels of uncertainty

 LCA results are relative expressions and do not predict impacts on category endpoints, the exceeding of thresholds, safety margins, or risks

• This EPD covers only the cradle-to-gate impacts of products using a

declared unit. The results listed in this EPD cannot be used to compare between products.

LCIA impact factors required by the PCR are global warming, ozone depletion potential, acidification, eutrophication, smog, and fossil fuel depletion. The EPDs from different programs shall not be comparable.

LCIA results, resource use, output and waste flows, and carbon emissions & removals per declared unit (1m2) of AIR-SHIELD™

Parameter	Unit	A1	A2	A3	Total
LCIA results					
GWP, IPCC _{TOTAL}	kg CO ₂ eq	1.22E+00	1.05E-01	1.98E-01	1.52E+00
GWP, IPCC _{BIOGENIC}	kg CO ₂ eq	-1.39E-02	0	1.39E-02	0
GWP, IPCC _{FOSSIL}	kg CO ₂ eq	1.23E+00	1.05E-01	1.84E-01	1.52E+00
GWP, TRACI 2.1 _{TOTAL}	kg $\rm CO_2$ eq	1.19E+00	1.04E-01	2.04E-01	1.50E+00
GWP, TRACI 2.1 _{BIOGENIC}	$kg CO_2 eq$	-1.39E-02	0	1.39E-02	0
GWP, TRACI 2.1 _{FOSSIL}	kg CO ₂ eq	1.21E+00	1.04E-01	1.90E-01	1.50E+00
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 eq	3.39E-07	1.49E-09	7.59E-09	3.48E-07
Acidification	$kg SO_2 eq$	4.62E-03	2.56E-04	9.52E-04	5.83E-03
Eutrophication	kg N eq	8.34E-04	1.74E-05	3.31E-04	1.18E-03
Smog	kg O ₃ eq	6.24E-02	6.58E-03	1.62E-02	8.52E-02
Respiratory effects	kg PM2.5 eq	7.35E-04	3.53E-05	1.78E-04	9.48E-04
Additional environmental information					
Carcinogenics	CTUh	71.69%	1.02%	27.29%	100%
Non-carcinogenics	CTUh	44.79%	8.36%	46.86%	100%
Ecotoxicity	CTUe	83.34%	8.72%	7.95%	100%
Fossil fuel depletion	MJ surplus	4.54E+00	1.98E-01	2.22E-01	4.96E+00
Resource use indicators					
Renewable primary energy used as energy carrier (fuel)	MJ, NCV	7.50E+00	2.28E-03	5.82E+00	1.33E+01
Renewable primary resources with energy content used as material	MJ, NCV	4.48E+00	0	0	4.48E+00
Total use of renewable primary resources with energy content	MJ, NCV	1.20E+01	2.28E-03	5.82E+00	1.78E+01
Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel)	MJ, NCV	1.22E+01	1.49E+00	2.56E+00	1.62E+01
Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as material	MJ, NCV	2.55E+01	0	0	2.55E+01
Total use of non-renewable primary resources with energy content	MJ, NCV	3.77E+01	1.49E+00	2.56E+00	4.17E+01
Secondary materials	kg	0	0	0	0
Renewable secondary fuels	MJ, NCV	0	0	0	0
Non-renewable secondary fuels	MJ, NCV	0	0	0	0
Recovered energy	MJ, NCV	0	0	0	0
Use of net fresh water resources	m3	2.40E+00	1.11E-02	5.87E-01	2.99E+00
Abiotic depletion (fossil fuels)	MJ, LHV	3.46E+01	1.40E+00	2.17E+00	3.82E+01
Output flows and waste category indicators					
Hazardous waste disposed	kg	2.33E-02	3.25E-04	1.02E-02	3.38E-02
Non-hazardous waste disposed	kg	1.50E+00	1.29E-03	6.43E-02	1.57E+00
High-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository	kg	9.19E-07	0	4.53E-06	5.45E-06
Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository	kg	2.12E-06	7.08E-08	1.11E-05	1.33E-05
Components for re-use	kg	0	0	0	0
Materials for recycling	kg	0	0	0	0
Materials for energy recovery	kg	0	0	0	0
Exported energy	MJ	0	0	0	0
Carbon emissions and removals					
Biogenic carbon removal from product	kg CO ₂	0	0	0	0
Biogenic carbon emission from product	kg CO ₂	0	0	0	0
Biogenic carbon removal from packaging	kg CO ₂	-1.39E-02	0	-5.48E-01	-5.61E-01
Biogenic carbon emission from packaging	kg CO ₂	0	0	1.39E-02	1.39E-02
Biogenic carbon emission from combustion of waste from renewable sources used in production processes	kg CO ₂	0	0	0	0
Calcination carbon emissions	kg CO₂	0	0	0	0
Carbonation carbon removals	kg CO ₂	0	0	0	0

LCIA results, resource use, output and waste flows, and carbon emissions & removals per declared unit (1m2) of AIR-SHIELD™ THRU WALL FLASHING TAPE

Parameter	Unit	A1	A2	A3	Total
LCIA results					
GWP, IPCC _{TOTAL}	$kg CO_2 eq$	1.22E+00	1.05E-01	1.98E-01	1.52E+00
GWP, IPCC _{BIOGENIC}	kg CO ₂ eq	-1.39E-02	0	1.39E-02	0
GWP, IPCC _{FOSSIL}	kg $\rm CO_2$ eq	1.23E+00	1.05E-01	1.84E-01	1.52E+00
GWP, TRACI 2.1 _{TOTAL}	kg $\rm CO_2$ eq	1.19E+00	1.04E-01	2.04E-01	1.50E+00
GWP, TRACI 2.1 _{BIOGENIC}	kg $\rm CO_2$ eq	-1.39E-02	0	1.39E-02	0
GWP, TRACI 2.1 _{FOSSIL}	kg CO_2 eq	1.21E+00	1.04E-01	1.90E-01	1.50E+00
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 eq	3.39E-07	1.49E-09	7.59E-09	3.48E-07
Acidification	$kg SO_2 eq$	4.62E-03	2.56E-04	9.52E-04	5.83E-03
Eutrophication	kg N eq	8.34E-04	1.74E-05	3.31E-04	1.18E-03
Smog	kg O ₃ eq	6.24E-02	6.58E-03	1.62E-02	8.52E-02
Respiratory effects	kg PM2.5 eq	7.35E-04	3.53E-05	1.78E-04	9.48E-04
Additional environmental information					
Carcinogenics	CTUh	71.69%	1.02%	27.29%	100%
Non-carcinogenics	CTUh	44.79%	8.36%	46.86%	100%
Ecotoxicity	CTUe	83.34%	8.72%	7.95%	100%
Fossil fuel depletion	MJ surplus	4.54E+00	1.98E-01	2.22E-01	4.96E+00
Resource use indicators					
Renewable primary energy used as energy carrier (fuel)	MJ, NCV	7.50E+00	2.28E-03	5.82E+00	1.33E+01
Renewable primary resources with energy content used as material	MJ, NCV	4.48E+00	0	0	4.48E+00
Total use of renewable primary resources with energy content	MJ, NCV	1.20E+01	2.28E-03	5.82E+00	1.78E+01
Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel)	MJ, NCV	1.22E+01	1.49E+00	2.56E+00	1.62E+01
Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as material	MJ, NCV	2.55E+01	0	0	2.55E+01
Total use of non-renewable primary resources with energy content	MJ, NCV	3.77E+01	1.49E+00	2.56E+00	4.17E+01
Secondary materials	kg	0	0	0	0
Renewable secondary fuels	MJ, NCV	0	0	0	0
Non-renewable secondary fuels	MJ, NCV	0	0	0	0
Recovered energy	MJ, NCV	0	0	0	0
Use of net fresh water resources	m3	2.40E+00	1.11E-02	5.87E-01	2.99E+00
Abiotic depletion (fossil fuels)	MJ, LHV	3.46E+01	1.40E+00	2.17E+00	3.82E+01
Output flows and waste category indicators					
Hazardous waste disposed	kg	2.33E-02	3.25E-04	1.02E-02	3.38E-02
Non-hazardous waste disposed	kg	1.50E+00	1.29E-03	6.43E-02	1.57E+00
High-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository	kg	9.19E-07	0	4.53E-06	5.45E-06
Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository	kg	2.12E-06	7.08E-08	1.11E-05	1.33E-05
Components for re-use	kg	0	0	0	0
Materials for recycling	kg	0	0	0	0
Materials for energy recovery	kg	0	0	0	0
Exported energy	MJ	0	0	0	0
Carbon emissions and removals					
Biogenic carbon removal from product	kg CO ₂	0	0	0	0
Biogenic carbon emission from product	kg CO ₂	0	0	0	0
Biogenic carbon removal from packaging	kg CO ₂	-1.39E-02	0	-5.48E-01	-5.61E-01
Biogenic carbon emission from packaging	kg CO ₂	0	0	1.39E-02	1.39E-02
Biogenic carbon emission from combustion of waste from renewable sources used in production processes	kg CO ₂	0	0	0	0
Calcination carbon emissions	kg CO ₂	0	0	0	0
Carbonation carbon removals	kg CO ₂	0	0	0	0
Carbon emissions from combustion of waste from non- renewable sources used in production processes	kg CO ₂	0	0	0	0

LCIA results, resource use, output and waste flows, and carbon emissions & removals per declared unit (1m2) of AIR-SHIELD™ LOW TEMP

Parameter	Unit	A1	A2	А3	Total
LCIA results					
GWP, IPCC _{TOTAL}	kg $\rm CO_2$ eq	1.97E+00	1.38E-01	2.52E-01	2.36E+00
GWP, IPCC _{BIOGENIC}	kg CO ₂ eq	-1.80E-02	0	1.80E-02	0
GWP, IPCC _{FOSSIL}	kg CO ₂ eq	1.98E+00	1.38E-01	2.34E-01	2.36E+00
GWP, TRACI 2.1 _{TOTAL}	kg CO ₂ eq	1.92E+00	1.37E-01	2.60E-01	2.32E+00
GWP, TRACI 2.1 _{BIOGENIC}	$kg CO_2 eq$	-1.80E-02	0	1.80E-02	0
GWP, TRACI 2.1 _{FOSSIL}	kg CO ₂ eq	1.94E+00	1.37E-01	2.42E-01	2.32E+00
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 eq	3.58E-07	1.97E-09	9.62E-09	3.69E-07
Acidification	kg SO ₂ eq	7.58E-03	3.38E-04	1.21E-03	9.13E-03
Eutrophication	kg N eq	1.61E-03	2.30E-05	4.22E-04	2.06E-03
Smog	kg O_3 eq	1.00E-01	8.67E-03	2.07E-02	1.30E-01
Respiratory effects	kg PM2.5 eq	1.16E-03	4.65E-05	2.27E-04	1.44E-03
Additional environmental information					
Carcinogenics	CTUh	77.89%	0.82%	21.29%	100%
Non-carcinogenics	CTUh	51.84%	7.53%	40.63%	100%
Ecotoxicity	CTUe	87.85%	6.41%	5.75%	100%
Fossil fuel depletion	MJ surplus	7.46E+00	2.61E-01	2.81E-01	8.00E+00
Resource use indicators					
Renewable primary energy used as energy carrier (fuel)	MJ, NCV	1.02E+01	3.01E-03	7.44E+00	1.76E+01
Renewable primary resources with energy content used as material	MJ, NCV	5.67E+00	0	0	5.67E+00
Total use of renewable primary resources with energy content	MJ, NCV	1.58E+01	3.01E-03	7.44E+00	2.33E+01
Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel)	MJ, NCV	3.33E+01	1.96E+00	3.25E+00	3.86E+01
Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as material	MJ, NCV	2.84E+01	0	0	2.84E+01
Total use of non-renewable primary resources with energy content	MJ, NCV	6.17E+01	1.96E+00	3.25E+00	6.69E+01
Secondary materials	kg	0	0	0	0

Renewable secondary fuels	MJ, NCV	0	0	0	0
Non-renewable secondary fuels	MJ, NCV	0	0	0	0
Recovered energy	MJ, NCV	0	0	0	0
Use of net fresh water resources	m3	2.67E+00	1.14E-02	6.15E-01	3.30E+00
Abiotic depletion (fossil fuels)	MJ, LHV	5.68E+01	1.84E+00	2.76E+00	6.14E+01
Output flows and waste category indicators					
Hazardous waste disposed	kg	3.85E-02	4.29E-04	1.30E-02	5.19E-02
Non-hazardous waste disposed	kg	1.80E+00	1.70E-03	8.30E-02	1.88E+00
High-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository	kg	1.41E-06	0	5.51E-06	6.92E-06
Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository	kg	3.25E-06	9.33E-08	1.35E-05	1.68E-05
Components for re-use	kg	0	0	0	0
Materials for recycling	kg	0	0	0	0
Materials for energy recovery	kg	0	0	0	0
Exported energy	MJ	0	0	0	0
Carbon emissions and removals					
Biogenic carbon removal from product	kg CO ₂	0	0	0	0
Biogenic carbon emission from product	kg CO ₂	0	0	0	0
Biogenic carbon removal from packaging	kg CO ₂	-1.80E-02	0	-6.93E-01	-7.11E-01
Biogenic carbon emission from packaging	kg CO ₂	0	0	1.80E-02	1.80E-02
Biogenic carbon emission from combustion of waste from renewable sources used in production processes	kg CO ₂	0	0	0	0
Calcination carbon emissions	kg CO ₂	0	0	0	0
Carbonation carbon removals	kg CO ₂	0	0	0	0
Carbon emissions from combustion of waste from non- renewable sources used in production processes	kg CO ₂	0	0	0	0

LCIA results, resource use, output and waste flows, and carbon emissions & removals per declared unit (1m2) of AIR-SHIELD™ XLT

Induc. No. 1.52E-02 0 152E-02 152E-02 0 152E-02	
SMP. PPC contentNo CO eq1.52E-0201.52E-021.52E-021.52E-011.52E-011.52E-011.52E-011.52E-011.52E-011.52E-021.52E-	
GWP, IPCC_resst.Ng CO, eq1/4E 1001/3E 1011/9E 011GWP, TAACI 2.4 ₁₀₀₀₄ Kg CO, eq1/5E 00201/5E 1021GWP, TAACI 2.4 ₁₀₀₀₄ Kg CO, eq1/5E 00201/5E 1021GWP, TAACI 2.4 ₁₀₀₀₄ Kg CO, eq1/5E 00211/5E 1021QWP, TAACI 2.4 ₁₀₀₀₄ Kg CO, eq1/5E 00211/5E 1021QWP, TAACI 2.4 ₁₀₀₀₄ Kg CO, eq1/5E 0021/5E 0021/5E 0021Que AdditionKg CO, eq1/5E 0021/5E 0021/5E 0021/5E 0021AdditionKg Neq1/6E 0031/5E 0021/5E 0021/5E 0021/5E 0021/5E 002SinogKg Neq1/6E 0031/5E 0021/5E	2.05E+00
GWP, TRACI 2.1 _{10704.} kg CO, eq158F-0011FE-01221E-01sGWP, TRACI 2.1 _{10767.} kg CO, eq-152E-020152E-020152E-020GWP, TRACI 2.1 _{10767.} kg CO, eq-170E-0011FE-01206E-0111Conce depletionkg CO, eq-170E-0011FE-0120E-0111Conce depletionkg O, eq65E-03275E-0410E-03354E-041Catch opticationkg O, eq8.38-02705E-03172E-021Singokg O, eq8.38-02705E-03123E-021Catch openicsCTUh714%0.79%2.20%1Catch openicsCTUh50.56%6.99%2.445%1Fossi fuel depletionMu surplus6.54E-002.42E-014Renewable primary energy used as energy carrier (fuelMJ, NCV7.64E-002.4E-033.0E-011Contormander of the second secon	0
GWP, TRAC1 21 monuteKip CO, eq1.52E-020152E-020152E-020GWP, TRAC1 21 monutKip CO, eq1.70E+001.1E-012.6E-013Cone depletionKip CC 11eq3.51E-071.60E-098.75E-093AcidificationKip NC eq1.60E-033.75E-041.2E-033EurophicationKip NC eq1.60E-033.75E-053.75E-031.73E-023SmogKip NC eq8.63E-027.05E-031.73E-0233Additional environmental informationTUTUTu5.75K3.75K34CarcinogenicsCTUh5.05K6.99K4.245K55454555 <t< td=""><td>2.05E+00</td></t<>	2.05E+00
GWP, TRAC12 L _{POSSL} kg GC or q170E+00111E-012.06E-01aOzone depletionkg GC-11 eq3.51E-071.60E-098.75E-091Acldficationkg SO, eq6.56E-032.75E-041.02E-031Eutrophicationkg N eq6.56E-032.75E-041.02E-031Smogkg O, eq8.63E-027.05E-031.73E-021Respiratory effectskg PM2.5 eq1.00E-033.78E-051.39E-041Additional environmental informationTT7.14%0.79%2.07%1CarcinogenicsCTUh50.56%6.99%2.425%11EcotoxitlyCTUe8.27%5.94%5.76%6.94%2.425%1EcotoxitlyCTUe8.27%5.94%5.76%6.94%3.36E-011Renewable primary resources with energy content usedMJ, NCV7.64E-002.24E-033.06E-011Total use of renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ, NCV2.32E-011.42E+0011Non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ, NCV0.000001Non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ, NCV1.42E+001.75E-011Non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ, NCV0.00000Carting de of non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ, NCV0.00000Secondary nuterialMJ, NCV0 <td< td=""><td>2.02E+00</td></td<>	2.02E+00
D2 one depletionkg G CF-11 eq3.51E-071.60E-098.75E-091.75E-091.75E-03 <td>0</td>	0
Additicationkg SQ og q656F-03275F-04102F-031Eutrophicationkg N qq140E-031.87E-053.54E-041Smogkg Q og q8.63E-027.05E-031.73E-021Respiratory effectskg PQ.2 sq1.00E-033.78E-051.73E-021CarcinogenicsCTUh50.56%6.99%2.20%1CarcinogenicsCTUh50.56%6.99%2.45%1EcotoxicityCTUe8.27%5.94%5.79%1Resource us indicatorsCTU8.27%5.94%3.08E-0101Renewable primary energy used as energy carrier (fue)M.NCV7.64E-002.4E-033.08E-0101Tatal use of renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialM.NCV7.64E-002.4E-033.08E-011Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialM.NCV2.08E+011.4E+001.05E+011Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialM.NCV2.08E+011.4E+001.0E+011Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialM.NCV0.00.00.01Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialM.NCV0.00.00.01Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialM.NCV0.00.00.01Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialM.NCV0.00.00.01<	2.02E+00
Eutrophicationkg N eq140E-03187E-05354E-04Smogkg O, eq8.63E-027.05E-031.73E-02*Respiratory effectskg PM2.5 eq1.00E-033.78E-051.93E-04*Addicoal environmental Information****CarcinogenicsCTUh7.1040.79%22.07%*Non-carcinogenicsCTUh50.56%6.99%4.245%*EcotoxiclyCTUe88.27%5.94%5.79%*Fossil fuel depletionMJ surplus6.54E+002.12E-012.44E-01*Renewable primary energy sead as energy carrier (fuel)MJ.NCV7.64E+002.24E-033.0E+01*Total use of renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ.NCV3.22E-011.72E+01*Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ.NCV2.08E+011.72E+01*Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ.NCV2.08E+011.72E+01*Secondary materialsMJ.NCV0000*Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ.NCV000*Total use of non-renewable primary resources with energyMJ.NCV000**Non-renewable primary resources with energyMJ.NCV000**Total use of non-renewable primary resources with energyMJ.NCV00 <td>3.62E-07</td>	3.62E-07
Eutrophicationkp N eq140E-03187E-05354E-049Smogkg O ₂ eq8.63E-027.05E-031.73E-027Respiratory effectskg PM2.5 eq1.00E-033.78E-051.93E-047Addicoal environmental informationT7.14%0.79%2.2.0%7CarcinogenicsCTUh50.56%6.99%2.45%5Non-carcinogenicsCTUh88.27%5.94%5.79%1Resource use indicatorsCTUe88.27%5.94%3.30E-011Renewable primary energy sead as energy carrier (tue)MJ NCV7.64E-002.24E-033.30E-011Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ NCV3.42E+00001Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ NCV2.32E-011.42E+001.75E+011Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ NCV2.08E+011.42E+001.75E+011Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ NCV00001Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ NCV00001Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ NCV000000000000000000000000000 <t< td=""><td>7.85E-03</td></t<>	7.85E-03
Smogkg O, eq8.63E-027.05E-031.73E-02eRespiratory effectskg PM2.5 eq1.00E-033.78E-051.93E-041Additional environmental information <td>1.77E-03</td>	1.77E-03
Respiratory effectsNap Pd2.5 eq100E 03378E-05193E-041Additional environmental informationIII <td< td=""><td>1.11E-01</td></td<>	1.11E-01
Additional environmental informationImage: section of the section of th	1.23E-03
CarcinogenicsCTUn71.1%0.79%2.20%4Non-carcinogenicsCTUn50.56%6.99%42.45%4EcotoxicityCTUe88.27%5.94%5.79%7Fossil fuel depletionMJ surplus6.54E+002.12E-012.44E-017Renewable primary energy used as energy carrier (fuel)MJ.NCV764E+002.24E-033.30E+017Renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ.NCV3.42E+00001Total use of renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ.NCV1.1E+012.24E-033.30E+011Non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ.NCV2.32E+011.42E+001.75E+011Non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ.NCV2.32E+011.42E+001.75E+011Non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ.NCV2.32E+011.42E+001.75E+011Secondary materialsMJ.NCV3.43E+011.42E+001.75E+011Secondary materialsMJ.NCV00001Non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ.NCV1.41E+011.42E+0001Non-renewable primary resourcesMJ.NCV00001Secondary materialsMJ.NCV00001Non-renewable primary resourcesMJ.NCV00001Secondary fuelsMJ.NCV00<	
Non-arrowable primary resources with energy content used as materialCTUPS0.56%S.99%42.45%5Renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ. NCV7.64E+002.24E-033.30E+017Total use of renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ. NCV3.42E+00001Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ. NCV1.11E+012.24E-033.30E+011Non-renewable primary resources with energy content contentMJ. NCV3.42E+00001Non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ. NCV2.32E+011.42E+001.75E+011Non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ. NCV2.08E+010001Non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ. NCV000001Non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ. NCV00<	100%
CTUE 88.27% 59% 5.7% 4 Fossil fuel depletion MJ surplus 6.54±00 2.12±01 2.44±01 1 Renewable primary energy used as energy carrier (fuel) MJ, NCV 7.64±00 2.24±03 3.30±01 4 Renewable primary resources with energy content used as material MJ, NCV 3.42±00 0 0 3.30±01 4 Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy content MJ, NCV 2.32±01 1.42±00 3.30±01 4 Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as material MJ, NCV 2.08±01 0 0 1 175±01.00 1 Non-renewable primary resources with energy carrier (fuel) MJ, NCV 2.08±01 1.42±00 1.75±01.00 1	100%
AdditionMJ surplus6.54E+002.12E-012.44E-010Resource use indicatorsMJMJ NCV7.64E+002.24E-033.30E+014Renewable primary energy used as energy carrier (fuel)MJ, NCV3.42E+000000Total use of renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ, NCV1.11E+012.24E-033.30E+014Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy content used as materialMJ, NCV2.32E+011.42E+001.75E+014Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ, NCV2.08E+0100000Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ, NCV2.08E+0100	100%
Resource use indicatorsIndicator	
Renewable primary energy used as energy carrier (fue)MJ, NCV764E+002.24E-033.30E+013Renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ, NCV3.42E+00001Total use of renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ, NCV1.11E+012.24E-033.30E+011Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy used as materialMJ, NCV2.32E+011.42E+001.75E+011Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ, NCV2.08E+01001Total use of non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ, NCV2.08E+011.42E+001.75E+011Non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ, NCV0.08E+0100<	6.99E+00
Renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ, NCV3.42E+00001Total use of renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ, NCV1.11E+012.24E-033.30E+011Non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ, NCV2.32E+011.42E+001.75E+011Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ, NCV2.08E+01001Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ, NCV2.08E+011.42E+001.75E+011Total use of non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ, NCV2.08E+011.42E+000000Secondary materialsKg00<	4.000
as materialMJ, NCV3.42 ±+000001Total use of renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ, NCV1.11 ±+012.24 ±-033.30 ±+011Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel)MJ, NCV2.32 ±+011.42 ±+001.75 ±+011Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ, NCV2.08 ±+011.42 ±+000001Total use of non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ, NCV2.08 ±+011.42 ±+001.75 ±+0111Secondary materialsKg000<	4.06E+01
ContentMJ, NCVInfleton2.24E-033.30E+01Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel)MJ, NCV2.32E+011.42E+001.75E+011Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as materialMJ, NCV2.08E+010001Total use of non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ, NCV4.41E+011.42E+001.75E+0100Secondary materialsKg00	3.42E+00
Carrier (fuel)M, NCV2.32E4011.42E4001.75E4011.7	4.41E+01
used as materialMJ, NCV2.08E+010001Total use of non-renewable primary resources with energy contentMJ, NCV4.41E+011.42E+001.75E+011Secondary materialskg000001Renewable secondary fuelsMJ, NCV0000000Recovered energyMJ, NCV000<	4.22E+01
contentMJ, NCV4.41E-011.42E+001.5E+01	2.08E+01
Renewable secondary fuelsMJ, NCV00	6.30E+01
Non-renewable secondary fuelsMJ, NCV00000Recovered energyMJ, NCV00	0
Recovered energyMJ, NCV000 <th< td=""><td>0</td></th<>	0
Use of net fresh water resourcesm33.32E+001.21E-026.18E-013Abiotic depletion (fossil fuels)MJ, LHV7.32E-013.21E-032.29E-019Output flows and waste category indicatorsImage: Component of the second of th	0
Abiotic depletion (fossil fuels)MJ, LHV7.32E-013.21E-032.29E-019Output flows and waste category indicatorsImage: Component Sector S	0
Output flows and waste category indicatorsImage: second secon	3.95E+00
Hazardous waste disposedkg3.33E-023.49E-041.04E-024Non-hazardous waste disposedkg1.62E+001.38E-037.21E-024High-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repositorykg1.21E-0602.88E-064Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repositorykg2.80E-067.59E-087.64E-064Components for re-usekg000000	9.63E-01
Non-hazardous waste disposedkg1.62E+001.38E-037.21E-02High-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repositorykg1.21E-0602.88E-064Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repositorykg2.80E-067.59E-087.64E-064Components for re-usekg0000000	
High-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repositorykg1.21E-0602.88E-064Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repositorykg2.80E-067.59E-087.64E-064Components for re-usekg000000	4.40E-02
High-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repositorykg1.21E-0602.88E-064Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repositorykg2.80E-067.59E-087.64E-064Components for re-usekg000000	1.69E+00
Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository kg 2.80E-06 7.59E-08 7.64E-06 7	4.10E-06
Components for re-use kg 0 0 0 0	1.05E-05
	0
	0
Materials for energy recovery kg 0 0 0 0	0
	0
Carbon emissions and removals	5
	0
	-
	0
	-4.34E-01
	1.52E-02
renewable sources used in production processes	0
Calcination carbon emissions kg CO ₂ 0 0 0 0	0
Carbonation carbon removals kg CO ₂ 0 0 0	0
Carbon emissions from combustion of waste from non- renewable sources used in production processes 0 0 0 0 0 0	0





PERFORMANCE DASHBOARD
2 LCA & MATERIAL RESULTS & INTERPRETATION
3 HOW WE MAKE IT GREENER

SM Transparency Catalog ► W. R. MEADOWS ► AIR-SHIELD[™]

How we make it greener

Expand all

RAW MATERIALS ACQUISITION

W. R. MEADOWS sources many materials domestically. Sourcing materials locally is more sustainable and results in a smaller carbon footprint for several reasons. It reduces transportation emissions by avoiding long-distance and overseas shipping, cutting fuel use and greenhouse gas output.

Supporting domestic industries strengthens local economies and promotes sustainable practices, reducing reliance on global supply chains. Local sourcing ensures efficient logistics, as materials are transported over shorter distances, saving energy in storage and transit. Finally, it eliminates dependence on energy-intensive transport modes like cargo ships and planes, which consume large amounts of fossil fuels. Domestic sourcing supports the environment and creates more resilient, eco-friendly supply chains.



W. R. MEADOWS manufactures AIR-SHIELD, AIR-SHIELD THRU-WALL FLASHING, AIR-SHIELD LOW TEMP, and AIR-SHIELD XLT in the USA. Sourcing materials domestically can be more sustainable and result in a lower carbon footprint for several reasons:

• **Reduced transportation emissions:** Local sourcing eliminates the need for long-distance shipping, especially overseas transport, significantly reducing fuel consumption and greenhouse gas emissions.

 Support for local economies: Buying domestically strengthens local industries, encourages more sustainable practices, and reduces dependence on global supply chains.

• Efficient logistics: With materials sourced nearby, transportation and delivery are quicker and more efficient, requiring less energy for storage and transit.

 Improved quality control: Local suppliers are often easier to monitor, ensuring higher standards for sustainability practices in production.

Lower reliance on energy-intensive transport modes: Overseas

sourcing often depends on cargo ships and planes, which consume vast amounts of fossil fuels compared to domestic

transportation. In short, domestic sourcing benefits the environment and helps create more resilient and eco-conscious supply chains!

W. R. MEADOWS utilizes Continuous Improvement in its daily standard work for all manufacturing activities.

Front-line supervisors are the champions of OEE (Overall Equipment Effectiveness), which plays a crucial role in reducing energy consumption in manufacturing. It highlights any inefficiencies and directs our efforts to minimize unnecessary energy use. By closely monitoring equipment performance, OEE identifies periods of downtime, slow speeds, and quality defects, which can all lead to wasted energy. Moreover, OEE-driven improvements have led Meadows to more streamlined processes, better scheduling, and reduced machine wear, all of which contribute to lower energy costs and a more sustainable operation. This proactive approach not only decreases energy consumption but also helps manufacturers meet environmental goals and reduce their carbon footprint.

Further, OEE has helped Meadows to identify and reduce scrap by providing insights into equipment performance, highlighting areas where defects or inefficiencies occur during production. With improved equipment efficiency and fewer production delays, OEE ensures that processes run optimally, resulting in fewer errors and reduced waste. Ultimately, this leads to a higher-quality product with less material being wasted during the manufacturing process.







USE & END OF LIFE

We understand the importance of end-of-life disposal. However, these products are meant to remain permanently adhered to their substrates, making them challenging to remove. As a result, they are typically landfilled during deconstruction or demolition.



SM Transparency Report (EPD)™ W.R. MEADOWS, Inc. 300 Industrial Drive P.O. Box 338 EPD LCA SUMMARY This Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) was externally verified by Lindita Bushi, PhD, Senior Research Associate at Athena, in accordance with ISO 21930:2017, ASTM International. (2023). Product Category Rules (PCR) for Preparing an Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) for Water-Resistive and Air Barriers as well as ISO 14025:2006. 3rd-party reviewed 🛛 🛇 Reference PCR ASTM PCR for Water-Resistiv Air Barriers Hampshire, IL 60140-0338 Transparency Report (EPD) (800) 342-5976 **3rd-party verified S Regions; system boundaries** North America; Cradle-to-gate Validity: 05/27/25 – 05/27/30 SM-WRM – 05272025 – 007 Contact us Declared unit: 1 m² LCIA methodology: TRACI 2.1 Barriers as well as ISO 14025:2006. **LCA software; LCI database** SimaPro Developer 9.6; ecoinvent Athena Sustainable Materials Institute 600 Grings Hill Road v3.10, US-EI 2.2 Sinking Spring, PA 19608 Public LCA: (610) 985-0933 Athena Suprameter Institute In accordance with ISO 14044 and the reference PCR, this life cycle assessment was conducted by Sustainable Minds and reviewed by Lindita Bushi, PhD, Senior Research Associate, at Athena. © 2025 I The SM Transparency Report [EPD]^w Program is operated by Sust le Minds® (www.sustainableminds.com) | Pri